arXiv:nucl-th/9904035v1 13 Apr 1999

Inclusive and Direct Photons in S + Au Central Collisions at 200A GeV/c

Wang Hui,[2] Sa Ben-Hao,[1,2,3] Tai An,[1,4] and Sun Zu-Xun[2]
[1] *CCAST (World Lab.), P.O. Box 8730 Beijing, China*
[2] *China Institute of Atomic Energy, P.O. Box 275 (18), Beijing, 102413 China* *
[3] *Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academic Sinica, Beijing, China*
[4] *Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Univ. of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90025*
(February 9, 2008)

A hadron and string cascade model, JPCIAE, which is based on LUND string model, PYTHIA event generator especially, is used to study both inclusive photon production and direct photon production in 200A GeV S + Au central collisions. The model takes into account the photon production from the partonic QCD scattering process, the hadronic final-state interaction, and the hadronic decay and deals with them consistently. The results of JPCIAE model reproduce successfully both the WA93 data of low p_T inclusive photon distribution and the WA80 data of transverse momentum dependent upper limit of direct photon. The photon production from different decay channels is investigated for both direct and inclusive photons. We have discussed the effects of the partonic QCD scattering and the hadronic final-state interaction on direct photon production as well.

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 24.85.+p, 13.85.Qk, 13.75.Cs

I. INTRODUCTION

Currently, relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions are used to study the characteristics of nuclear matter under extreme conditions. One of the goals in this study is to search for the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), which is believed to be formed at high temperature and/or density [1–4] during a nucleus-nucleus collision at high energies. Photons arising from the electromagnetic interactions of the constituents of the plasma will provide information on the properties of the plasma at the time of their production [5]. Since photons hardly reinteract in the produced medium, they form a relatively ‘clean’ probe to study a QGP state. The possible detection, in near future, of the photon produced in the QGP phase in relativistic heavy-ion collider (RHIC) and/or large hadron collider (LHC) will be of great interest in probing such a QGP state, but presently that might not be the case at CERN SPS energies [6–15].

Photons measured after the subtraction of the photons from meson decays are usually called “direct photon”. The direct photons could be produced from the interaction of matter in the QGP phase, a mixed QGP and hadron phase, and a pure hadron phase [1,2]. The thermal direct photon and prompt direct photon are referred to the photons produced from the partonic QCD processes in the QGP phase and in the hadron phase, respectively. However, the photons produced in the hadronic interactions are sorted into hadronic direct photon, although the prompt direct photon is also originated from hadrons. Different processes give rise to photons in different (transverse) momentum regions. Of course, photons, which might show up in the low transverse momentum region, extending to the region of intermediate transverse momentum of 1 −3 GeV/c, from a QGP are specially important. Photons with energies up to 2 GeV can come from the decay of π^0 and η resonances as well as from ρ, ω, η', and a_1, and from the interaction of hadron matter via $\pi\rho \to \gamma\pi$ and $\pi\pi \to \gamma\rho$ reactions (i.e., hadronic direct photons) [14–17]. If a hot quark-gluon plasma is formed initially, clear signal of photon from the plasma could be visible by examining photons with p_T in the region of 2−3 GeV/c [8–10]. However, photons in this transverse momentum region could also be produced in the collision of a parton from the projectile nucleon with another parton from the target nucleon, i.e., prompt direct photon. Such a contribution must be subtracted in order to infer the net photons from the QGP source, i.e., thermal direct photon.

WA80 experimental data of transverse momentum dependent upper limits of direct photon in 200A GeV/c S + Au central collisions [11] initiate theoretical interests extensively [15,17–22]. Refs. [18] and [19] concluded that WA80 data can only be understood if a scenario with QGP phase transition is assumed. However, in Ref. [17] the single photon production has been calculated using relativistic hadronic transport model and taking into account self-consistently the change of hadron mass in dense matter. It was found there that the spectra with either free or in-medium meson mass do not exceed the WA80 upper limits, although the experimental transverse momentum distribution in low p_T region was not repro-

---

*Mailing address. E-mail: hui@iris.ciae.ac.cn

FIG. 1. Transverse momentum distribution of direct photon (in rapidity range of 2.1 $\leq$y$\leq$ 2.9) produced in S + Au central collisions at 200A GeV/c. The arrows stand for WA80 upper limits at the 90% confidence level [11], the solid circles refer to the results of JPCIAE, and the dashed curve are the results of [17].

A collision time is calculated according to the requirement that the minimum approaching distance of a colliding pair should be less than or equal to the value $\sqrt{\sigma_{tot}/\pi}$, where σ_{tot} is the total cross section of the colliding pair. The minimum distance is calculated in the center of mass system (C.M.S.) frame of the two colliding particles. If these two particles are moving towards each other at the time when both of them are "born", the minimum distance is defined as the distance perpendicular to the momenta of both particles. If the two particles are moving back to back, the minimum distance is defined as the distance at the moment when both of them

FIG. 3. The transverse momentum distribution of inclusive photons in S + Au central collisions at 200A GeV/c. The solid circles represent WA93 data, the open circles refers to the results of JPCIAE normalized to the experimental data at $p_T = 0.5$ GeV/c, the open triangles are the results of VENUS 4.12 normalized to the experimental data at $p_T = 0.3$ GeV/c and the dotted histogram is WA80 decay photons.

We have inspected this model and the corresponding event generator, JPCIAE, by comparing model predictions with the NA35 data of the charge multiplicity, the rapidity and the transverse momentum distributions of the negative charge particles (h^-) and the participant protons in *pp*, *pA*, and *AB* collisions [25]. The agreements between theory and experiment are reasonably good. The model has explained successfully the J/ψ suppression in *pA* and *AB* (including *PbPb*) as well [25,26].

FIG. 4. The transverse momentum distributions of photons in central collisions S + Au at 200A GeV/c. The solid, the long-dashed, the dotted, the dashed, and the dot-dashed curves represent the inclusive photons, the π^0 decay, the Δ decay, the η and η' decays, and the ρ decay photons, respectively.

After WA80 measured the upper limits of direct photon in S + Au central collisions at 200A GeV/c, WA93 measured further the low transverse momentum distribution of inclusive photons for the same reaction system. WA93 data (solid circles with error bar) are compared with the WA80 results of decay photons (dotted histogram) and with the theoretical calculations of VENUS 4.12 (open triangles, normalized to the experimental data at p_T = 0.3 GeV/c)) in Figure 3. In this figure the open circle are the results of JPCIAE normalized to the experimental data at $p_T = 0.5$ GeV/c. From this figure one knows that the WA93 datum point below $p_T = 0.1$ GeV/c is distinctly larger than the WA80 decay photons and the VENUS 4.12 results. However, JPCIAE reproduces this WA93 datum point and others successfully due to the contributions from partonic QCD processes (low-p_T processes) and from emission of photons off quarks and lep-

FIG. 5. The rescattering effects to the π^0 production in central S + Au collisions at 200A GeV/c. The solid and the dashed curves are the results with and without rescattering, respectively.

Figure 5 shows the rescattering effect to the π^0 production in S + Au central collisions at 200A GeV/c. In this figure the transverse momentum distributions of π^0 with and without rescattering are shown, respectively, by the solid and the dashed curves. The total neutral pion yield with rescattering is nearly twice of the one without rescattering. In the high transverse momentum region the effect of rescattering leads π^0 yield increasing nearly a factor of 1.5 . This increasing factor is even bigger in the low transverse momentum region. The π^0 yield with rescattering at $p_T = 0.05$ GeV/c is about 2.4 times of the one without rescattering. The enhancement of π^0 yield due to rescattering also responds to the inclusive photon production enhancement at low transverse momentum.

In summary, we have used the hadron and string cascade model, JPCIAE, to study the photon production in 200A GeV/c S + Au central collisions. The model takes into account photon production from the partonic QCD process, the hadronic final-state interaction, and the hadron decay and deals with them consistently. The results of JPCIAE reproduce successfully both the WA80 data of transverse momentum dependent upper limits of the direct photon and the WA93 data of inclusive photon low transverse momentum distribution. We have compared the contributions from different hadron decays and the results show that π^0 is much dominant in the inclusive photon production. Therefore π^0 rescattering plays an important role in low transverse momentum distribution of inclusive photon. The partonic QCD processes (low-p_T processes) and the photon emission off quarks and leptons in shower are quite important in transverse momentum distribution at low p_T region both for inclusive photon and direct photon.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank G. Q. Li for supply us the isospin averaged parameterization formulas of hadronic direct photon production cross sections and for discussions. Many thanks to T. Sjöstrand for detailed instructions in using PYTHIA. This work was supported by national Natural Science Foundation of China and Nuclear Industry Foundation of China.

[1] J. Stachel and G. R. Young, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **42**, 537 (1992).
[2] J. W. Harris and B. Müller, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Phys. **46**, 71 (1996).
[3] Proceedings of the Quark Matter '96 Conference, Heidelberg, 1996, edited by P. Braun-Munzinger, H. J. Specht, R. Stock, and H. Stöcker, published in Nuclear Physics, Vol. **A610** (1996).
[4] R. C. Hwa, *Quark-Gluon Plasma*, Vol. 2, World Scientific Publishing Company, 1995.
[5] E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rep. **61**, 71 (1980); L. McLerran, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 1001 (1986)
[6] R. C. Hwa and K. Kajantie, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 1109 (1985).

[7] L. D. McLerran and T. Toimela, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 545 (1985).

[8] K. Kajantie, J. Kapusta, L. McLerran, and A. Mekjian, Phys. Rev. **D34**, 2746 (1986).

[9] J. Kapusta, P. Lichard, and D. Seibert, Phys. Rev. **D44**, 2774 (1991), [Erratum: *ibid.* **D47**, 4323 (1993).]

[10] D. K. Srivastava, B. Sinha, M. Gyulassy, and X. N. Wang, Phys. Lett. **B276**, 285 (1992).

[11] R. Albrecht *et al.* (WA80 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3506 (1996).

[12] T. Peitzmann *et al.* (WA98 Collaboration), invited talk presented at Quark Matter '96, Heidelberg, Germany, May 20-24, 1996.

[13] B. Wyslouch *et al.*, WA98 Collaboration, Proceedings of Quark Matter '98, Japan, 1997.

[14] L. Xiong, E. V. Shuryak, and G. E. Brown, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 3798 (1992).

[15] C. M. Hung and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. **C 56**, 453 (1997).

[16] J. V. Steele, H. Yamagishi, and I. Zahed, Phys. Lett. **B384**, 255 (1996).

[17] G.Q. Li, G.E. Brown, C. Gale, and C.M. Ko, nucl-th/9712048.

[18] D.K. Srivastava and B. Sinha, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, (1994) 2421.

[19] A. Dumitru, U. Katscher, J. A. Maruhn, H. Stöcker, W. Greinre, and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. **C 51**, 2166 (1995).

[20] A. Dumitru, M. Bleicher, S.A. Bass, C. Spieles, L. Neise, H. Stöcker, and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C 57**, 3271 (1998).

[21] C. Song and G. Fai, Phys. Rev. **C 58**, 1689 (1998).

[22] J. Sollfrank, P. Huovinen, M. Kataja, P. V. Ruuskanen, M. Prakash, and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. **C 55**, 392 (1997).

[23] M. M. Aggarwal *et al.* (WA93 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **C 56**, 1160 (1997).

[24] Cheuk-Yin Wong and Hui Wang, Phys. Rev. **C 58**, 376 (1998).

[25] Sa Ben-Hao, Tai An, Wang Hui and Liu Feng-He, nucl-th/9803033 and Phys. Rev. **C** in press.

[26] Sa Ben-Hao, Amand Faessler, Tai An, T. Waindzoch, C. Fuchs, Z.S. Wang, Wang Hui, nucl-th/9809020 and J. Phys. G in press.

[27] J. Cugnon, T. Mizutani, J. Vandermeulen, Nucl. Phys. **A 352**, 505 (1981).

[28] G. F. Bertsch, S. Das Gupta, Phys. Reports **160**, 189 (1988).

[29] Sa Ben-Hao, Tai An, Comp. Phys. Commu. **90**, 121 (1995); *ibid.* **116**, 353 (1999).

[30] T. Sjöstrand, Comp. Phys. Commu. **82**, 74 (1994).

[31] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, I. Holgersson and O. Månsson, Nucl. Phys. **B 178**, 242 (1981).